

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL**

**Writ Petition No. 131 of 2006 (M/B)**

Vijay Chaudhary s/o Sri Virendra Chaudhary,  
R/o P.S.Jwalapur, Sector-2, B.H.E.L., Haridwar,  
District Haridwar ..... Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal through District Magistrate,  
Haridwar.
2. Senior Superintendent of Police, Haridwar.
3. Station Officer, Police Station, Kankhal, District Haridwar.
4. Station Officer, Police Station, Jwalapur, District Haridwar.
5. Assistant Regional Transport Officer,  
Haridwar, District Haridwar. .... Respondents.

Sri Kurban Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Sri D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for the respondents.

**JUDGMENT**

**Coram: Hon. Rajeev Gupta, C.J.  
Hon. Prafulla C. Pant, J.**

**RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)**

Sri S.S. Bhandari, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sri D.K. Sharma, Government Advocate for the respondents.

2. Sri D.K. Sharma, the learned Government Advocate submits that the writ petition filed by the petitioner seeking quashing of the first information report and the case registered against him, now, has become infructuous as the police after the completion of the investigation has now filed charge-sheet against the petitioner before the concerning Magistrate.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

**(Prafulla C. Pant, J.)**  
24.05.2006

**(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)**  
24.05.2006